arXiv:1609.08593v1 [math.RT] 27 Sep 2016

Multi-graded nilpotent tuples

Magdalena Boos
Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Faculty of Mathematics
D - 44780 Bochum, Germany.
Magdalena.Boos-math@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

Abstract

We discuss multi-graded nilpotent tuples of multi-graded vector spaces which are a generalization of graded nilpotent pairs [4]. The multi-grading yields a natural notion of a shape of such tuple and our main interest is to answer the question "Is the number of multi-graded nilpotent tuples of a fixed shape, up to base change in the homogeneous components, finite?" Our methods make use of a translation to the class of so-called "Multi-staircase algebras" and we classify their representation types.

1 Introduction

The concept of graded nilpotent pairs has been discussed in [4] basically as a Lie-theoretic generalization of Ginzburg's principal nilpotent pairs [9]. Such graded nilpotent pair is given as an element (φ, ψ) of the nilpotent commuting variety of a fixed bi-graded vector space V, such that both nilpotent operators are compatible with the bi-grading in a natural commuting way: φ respects the bigrading in horizontal direction and ψ respects the bigrading vertically.

A standard generalization of this setup is given by multi-graded nilpotent pairs $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_k)$ of a k-graded vector space V; the notion of a k-graded vector space is a well-known and basic concept, and such multi-graded, or k-graded, nilpotent tuple respects the grading in all k directions in a natural manner: each φ_i respects the grading in exactly one direction, such that the whole situation commutes. This generalized setup determines the situation upon which we mainly focus our interest in this article.

The non-zero components of the multi-grading of V induce a k-dimensional (generalized) Young diagram Λ in a natural way and every corresponding k-graded nilpotent tuple is called Λ-shaped, thus.

Our main results gives a complete and explicit answer to a standard Lie-theoretic question: Are there only finitely many Λ-shaped multi-graded nilpotent pairs up to base change by a Levi respecting the grading? The methods of proof are mainly taken from Representation Theory of finite-dimensional algebras. For each generalized Young diagram, we define a so-called multi-staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ which generalizes the concept

of staircase algebras as in [4]. The above posed question is then answered by discussing the representation types of all multi-staircase algebras which leads to our Classification Theorem.

Classification Theorem. *Let Λ be a generalized proper k-dimensional Young diagram. The multi-staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is*

1. *of finite representation type if and only if Λ is listed as a (generalized) Young diagram in Theorem 4.1 (a) or Theorem 5.2 (a),*
2. *tame concealed if and only if Λ is listed as a Young diagram in Theorem 4.1 (b), Theorem 5.2 (b) or Theorem 6.1 (b).*
3. *tame non-concealed if and only if Λ is listed as a Young diagram in Theorem 4.1 (c), Theorem 5.2 (c) or Theorem 6.1 (c).*

Otherwise, and in particular if $k \geq 5$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is of wild representation type.

By Theorem 3.4, this classification provides our Main Theorem about Λ-shaped multi-graded nilpotent tuples as a direct consequence.

Main Theorem. *Let Λ be a generalized k-dimensional partition. The number of Λ-graded nilpotent pairs (up to Levi-base change) is finite if and only if the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is of finite representation type. These cases are those of Classification Theorem (a). In particular, whenever $k \geq 4$, there are infinitely many such pairs (see Corollary 6.2).*

Results are extended by considering certain minimal nullroots of particular tame concealed multi-staircase algebras which yield information about multi-graded nilpotent tuples of fixed vector spaces V.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Markus Reineke for interesting discussions on the subject and Edward Richmond for his support with drawing generalized Young diagrams in Latex.

2 Theoretical background

We sketch some theoretical background now which we will refer to later when studying our main questions. Let $K = \overline{K}$ be an algebraically closed field and let $\mathrm{GL}_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be the general linear group for a fixed integer $n \in \mathbf{N}$ regarded as an affine variety.

2.1 Representation Theory (of finite-dimensional algebras)

The concepts of this subsection can be found in [1]. A *finite quiver* Q is a directed graph $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$, given by a finite set of *vertices* Q_0, a finite set of *arrows* Q_1 and two maps $s, t : Q_1 \to Q_0$ defining the source $s(\alpha)$ and the target $t(\alpha)$ of an arrow α. A *path* is a sequence of arrows $\omega = \alpha_s \dots \alpha_1$, such that $t(\alpha_k) = s(\alpha_{k+1})$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$.

We define the *path algebra* KQ as the K-vector space with a basis consisting of all

paths in Q, formally included is a path ε_i of length zero for each $i \in Q_0$ starting and ending in i. The multiplication is defined by concatenation of paths, if possible, and equals 0, otherwise.

Let us define the *arrow ideal* R_Q of KQ as the (two-sided) ideal which is generated (as an ideal) by all arrows in Q. In particular, the arrow ideal of Q equals the radical of KQ if Q does not contain oriented cycles. An arbitrary ideal $I \subseteq KQ$ is called *admissible* if there exists an integer s, such that $R_Q^s \subset I \subset R_Q^2$. Given such admissible ideal I, we denote by $I(i, j)$ the set of paths in I starting in i and ending in j.

Given such admissible ideal I, the path algebra of Q, *bound by* I is defined as $\mathcal{A} := KQ/I$; it is a basic and finite-dimensional K-algebra [1]; the elements of I are the so-called *relations* of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called *triangular*, if Q does not contain an oriented cycle. Let us fix such triangular algebra $\mathcal{A} = KQ/I$ in the following.

Let $\operatorname{rep}\mathcal{A}$ be the abelian K-linear category of all finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-representations which is equivalent to the category of *K-representations* of Q, which are *bound by* I, defined as follows: The objects are given by tuples $((M_i)_{i\in Q_0}, (M_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Q_1})$, where the M_i are K-vector spaces, and the $M_\alpha \colon M_{s(\alpha)} \to M_{t(\alpha)}$ are K-linear maps. For each path ω in Q as above, we denote $M_\omega = M_{\alpha_s} \cdot \ldots \cdot M_{\alpha_1}$ and ask a representation M to fulfill $\sum_\omega \lambda_\omega M_\omega = 0$ whenever $\sum_\omega \lambda_\omega \omega \in I$. A *morphism of representations* $M = ((M_i)_{i\in Q_0}, (M_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Q_1})$ and $M' = ((M'_i)_{i\in Q_0}, (M'_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Q_1})$ consists of a tuple of K-linear maps $(f_i \colon M_i \to M'_i)_{i\in Q_0}$, such that $f_j M_\alpha = M'_\alpha f_i$ for every arrow $\alpha \colon i \to j$ in Q_1.

The *dimension vector* of an $\mathcal{A}$-representation M is defined by $\underline{\dim} M \in \mathbf{N}^{Q_0}$; in more detail $(\underline{\dim} M)_i = \dim_K M_i$ for $i \in Q_0$. Let us fix such a dimension vector $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{N}^{Q_0}$ and denote by $\operatorname{rep}\mathcal{A}(\underline{d})$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{rep}\mathcal{A}$ which consists of all representations of dimension vector $\underline{d}$.

By defining the affine space $R_{\underline{d}}KQ := \bigoplus_{\alpha\colon i\to j} \operatorname{Hom}_K(K^{d_i}, K^{d_j})$, it is clear that its points m naturally correspond to representations $M \in \operatorname{rep} KQ(\underline{d})$ with $M_i = K^{d_i}$ for $i \in Q_0$. Via this correspondence, the set of such representations bound by I corresponds to a closed subvariety $R_{\underline{d}}\mathcal{A} \subset R_{\underline{d}}KQ$.

The algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}_{\underline{d}} = \prod_{i\in Q_0} \mathrm{GL}_{d_i}$ acts on $R_{\underline{d}}KQ$ and on $R_{\underline{d}}\mathcal{A}$ via base change, furthermore the $\mathrm{GL}_{\underline{d}}$-orbits O_M of this action are in bijection to the isomorphism classes of representations M in $\operatorname{rep}\mathcal{A}(\underline{d})$.

Due to Krull, Remak and Schmidt, every finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-representation decomposes into a direct sum of *indecomposables* (which by definition do not decompose further). For certain classes of finite-dimensional algebras, a convenient tool for the classification of these indecomposable representations is the *Auslander-Reiten quiver* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \Gamma(Q, I)$ of $\operatorname{rep} KQ/I$. Its vertices $[M]$ are given by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of $\operatorname{rep} KQ/I$; the arrows between two such vertices $[M]$ and $[M']$ are parametrized by a basis of the space of so-called *irreducible maps* $f \colon M \to M'$. One standard technique to calculate $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the *knitting process* (see, for example, [1, IV.4]).

Let $\mathcal{A} = KQ/I$ be an algebra of a quiver with only commutativity and zero relations. We say that an algebra $\mathcal{B} = KQ'/I'$ is a *convex subcategory* of $\mathcal{A}$, if Q' is a pathclosed

subquiver of Q (that is, for every two vertices x and y in Q', every path of Q from x to y is a path in Q', as well) and $I' := \langle I(i,j) \mid i,j \in Q'_0 \rangle$.

An indecomposable projective P has a so-called *separated* radical, if for arbitrary two non-isomorphic direct summands of its radical, their supports are contained in different components of the subquiver Q obtained by deleting all starting points of paths ending in i. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ *fulfills the separation condition*, if every projective indecomposable has a separated radical. If this condition is fulfilled, $\mathcal{A}$ admits a preprojective component, see [2].

In general, the definition of an algebra to be *strongly simply connected* algebra is quite involved. In case of a triangular algebra $\mathcal{A}$, there is an equivalent description, though : $\mathcal{A}$ is *strongly simply connected* if and only if every convex subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the separation condition [11].

The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called of *finite representation type*, if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations. If it is not of finite representation type, the algebra is of *infinite representation type*. These infinite types split up into exactly two disjoint cases by Drozd's Dichotomy statement [7]:

- $\mathcal{A}$ has *tame representation type* (or *is tame*) if for every integer n there is an integer m_n and there are finitely generated $K[x]$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodules $M_1, \ldots, M_{m_n}$ which are free over $K[x]$, such that for all but finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable right $\mathcal{A}$-modules M of dimension n, there are elements $i \in \{1, \ldots, m_n\}$ and $\lambda \in K$, such that $M \cong K[x]/(x-\lambda) \otimes_{K[x]} M_i$.
- Or $\mathcal{A}$ has *wild representation type* (or *is wild*) if there is a finitely generated $K\langle X, Y\rangle$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule M which is free over $K\langle X, Y\rangle$ and sends non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable $K\langle X, Y\rangle$-modules via the functor $_ \otimes_{K\langle X,Y\rangle} M$ to non-isomorphic indecomposable $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

The notion of a tame algebra $\mathcal{A}$ yields that there are at most one-parameter families of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable $\mathcal{A}$-modules; in the wild case there are parameter families of arbitrary many parameters.

For a triangular algebra $\mathcal{A} = KQ/I$, the *Tits form* $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{Z}^{Q_0} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is the integral quadratic form defined by

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(v) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i^2 - \sum_{\alpha : i \to j \in Q_1} v_i v_j + \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} r(i,j) v_i v_j;$$

here $r(i,j)$ equals the number of elements in $R \cap I(i,j)$ whenever R is a minimal set of generators of I, such that $R \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j \in Q_0} I(i,j)$. The quadratic form $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called *weakly positive*, if $q_{\mathcal{A}}(v) > 0$ for every $v \in \mathbf{N}^{Q_0}$; and *(weakly) non-negative*, if $q_{\mathcal{A}}(v) \geq 0$ for every $v \in \mathbf{Z}^{Q_0}$ (or $v \in \mathbf{N}^{Q_0}$, respectively). If $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly non-negative, we define its *radical* by $\operatorname{rad} q_{\mathcal{A}} := \{u \in \mathbf{Z}^{Q_0} \mid q_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = 0\}$ and call its elements *nullroots*.

The definiteness of the Tits form is closely related to the representation type of $\mathcal{A}$, and many results are, for example, summarized by De la Peña and Skowroński in [6]. The following criterion for finite representation type is due to Bongartz [2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = KQ/I$ be a triangular algebra, which admits a preprojective component. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is representation-finite if and only if the Tits form $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly positive. Furthermore, if the equivalent conditions hold true, then the dimension vector function $X \mapsto \dim X$ induces a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{A}$-modules and the set of positive roots of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Assume that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has a preprojective component. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called *critical* if $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not weakly positive, but every proper restriction of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly positive. The term "critical" is actually intuitive, since the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$ not having a convex subcategories which is critical. The critical algebras are (mostly) the minimal tame ones, that is, the tame concealed algebras which are listed in the famous Bongartz-Happel-Vossieck list (BHV-list) [3, 10, 8].

The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called *hypercritical* if $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not weakly non-negative while every proper restriction of $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly non-negative. The hypercritical algebras have been classified [12]. For strongly simply connected algebras, they turn out to be the minimal wild algebras as the following classification of tame types due to of Brüstle, De la Peña and Skowroński yields [5].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be strongly simply connnected of infinite representation type. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{A}$ is tame;*
2. *$q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly non-negative;*
3. *$\mathcal{A}$ does not contain a full convex subcategory which is hypercritical.*

By dichotomy, Theorem 2.2 yields a sufficient criterion for wildness.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be strongly simply connnected. Whenever there exists $v \in \mathbf{N}^{Q_0}$, such that $q_{\mathcal{A}}(v) \leq -1$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is of wild representation type.*

2.2 Generalized Young diagrams

Let $N \in \mathbf{N}$ be an integer, then a partition of N is a decreasing tuple $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$, such that $\lambda_1 + ... + \lambda_k = N$; let $\mathcal{P}(N)$ denote the set of partitions of N and by $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all partitions of integers. For easier notation, we merge similar entries of partitions by potencies, for example $(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 6, 8, 8) =: (1^2, 2^3, 6, 8^2)$. Corresponding to λ there is a Young diagram; we fill its boxes with tuples (x, y) as sketched in the example and identify partitions and Young diagrams:

Example 2.4. *Let $N = 10$ and $\lambda = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$. Then the Young diagram is*

			(2,3)	(1,3)
		(3,2)	(2,2)	(1,2)
(5,1)	(4,1)	(3,1)	(2,1)	(1,1)

Thus, we can identify each partition, or each Young diagram, with a subset of $\mathbf{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1}$. Furthermore, a subset $X \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1}$ defines a partition if and only if the condition "If $(x, y) \in X$, then $(a, b) \in X$ whenever $a \leq x$ and $b \leq y$" is fulfilled. This in particular yields

$$YD^{(2)} := \{X \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1} \mid (x, y) \in X \Rightarrow (a, b) \in X,\ \forall a \leq x, b \leq y\} \cong \mathcal{P}.$$

This setup can be generalized to k dimensions as follows: Let

$$YD^{(k)} := \{X \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1}^{k} \mid (x_1, ..., x_k) \in X \Rightarrow (a_1, ..., a_k) \in X,\ \forall a_i \leq x_i, \forall i\}$$

We call an element of $YD^{(k)}$ a k-dimensional Young diagram; and in particular a generalized Young diagram.

Another special case of generalized Young diagrams is of particulat interest: namely, 3-dimensional Young diagrams. These are subdivided into two kinds, flat ones and non-flat ones.

Let Λ be a 3-dimensional Young diagram, then Λ is called flat, if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- $\Lambda \subseteq \{(1, x, y), (x, 1, y), \mid x, y \geq 1\}$
- $\Lambda \subseteq \{(1, x, y), (x, y, 1) \mid x, y \geq 1\}$
- $\Lambda \subseteq \{(x, 1, y), (x, y, 1) \mid x, y \geq 1\}$

Graphically speaking, this means that it can be “properly embedded into the plane”. In particular, every flat 3-dimensional Young diagram is given by two decreasing partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_l)$, such that $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 =: x$. We denote $\Lambda = (\lambda_k, ..., \lambda_2, \underline{x}, \mu_2, ..., \mu_l)$. If Λ is not flat, it is called non-flat.

Example 2.5. *The* 3*-dimensional Young diagram*



is flat and its tuple of partitions is given by $(3, 3, \underline{4}, 2, 1)$*, that is,* $\lambda = (4, 3, 3)$ *and* $\mu = (4, 2, 1)$*. We can embed it into the plane by*



The following 3*-dimensional Young diagram is non-flat.*



2.3 Multi-graded nilpotent tuples

Let $V = \bigoplus_{(x_1,...,x_k)\in\mathbf{Z}^k_{\geq 1}} V_{x_1,..,x_k}$ be an N-dimensional multi-graded K-vector space; we formally set $V_{x_1,...,x_k} := 0$ for $(x_1,..,x_k) \notin \mathbf{Z}^k_{\geq 1}$ and denote $\underline{x} := (x_1,..,x_k)$. Let V be called *k-graded* in general, *bi-graded* for $k = 2$ and *tri-graded* for $k = 3$.

We denote by $\mathcal{N}(V)$ the *nilpotent cone* of nilpotent operators on V. The *k-fold nilpotent commuting variety* of V is defined by

$$C^k(V) := \{(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_k) \in \mathcal{N}(V)^k \mid [\varphi_i, \varphi_j] = 0 \text{ for all } i, j\},$$

its elements are called *commuting nilpotent tuples*.

Such tuple is called *graded nilpotent tuple* or *k-graded nilpotent tuple* of V, if φ_i restrict to each $V_{x_1,...,x_k}$ on the i-th component via

$$\varphi_i(\underline{x}) := \varphi_i\,|_{V_{x_1,...,x_k}} : V_{x_1,...,x_k} \to V_{x_1,...,x_i-1,...,x_k}.$$

We define the *shape* of V by

$$\mathrm{sh}(V) := \{(s_1, ..., s_k) \mid \exists p_1, ..., p_k \in \mathbf{Z}^k_{\geq 1}, \forall i p_i \geq s_I, V_{p_1,...,p_k} \neq 0\}.$$

The shape of a k-graded vector space is a k-dimensional Young diagram by definition and the special case of bi-graded nilpotent pairs coincides with the notion of graded nilpotent pairs for which the shape λ of V is given by an ordinary Young diagram $Y(\lambda) = Y(V)$ [4].

Let Λ be the shape of V, then every graded nilpotent tuple of V is called a Λ-*graded nilpotent pair*.

Example 2.6. *Let* $V := \bigoplus_{s,t,u\in\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}} V_{s,t,u}$ *be a tri-graded K-vector space, such that* $V_{1,1,1} = V_{1,2,2} = K$, $V_{2,1,1} = V_{1,2,1} = V_{1,1,2} = V_{2,1,2} = K^2$, $V_{2,2,1} = K^3$ *and* $V_{s,t,u} = 0$, *otherwise. Let* $(\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)}, \varphi^{(3)})$ *be a graded nilpotent pair on V. Then we can illustrate the latter by*



The shape of V is given by the generalized Young diagram



3 Connection with finite-dimensional algebras

3.1 Translation

Corresponding to a generalized Young diagram Λ, let us define the quiver $Q(\Lambda)$: its vertices are given by the tuples appearing in Λ; and there is an arrow $(x_1, ..., x_n) \to (y_1, ..., y_n)$ if and only if there is exactly one index i, such that $y_i = x_i - 1$ and $y_k = x_k$ for $k \neq i$. This arrow will be denoted by $\varphi^{(i)}_{x_1,...,x_n}$. Especially for the bi- and tri-graded cases, the quiver $Q(\Lambda)$ can easily be visualized by the generalized Young diagram.

Let us define the path algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) = KQ(\Lambda)/I$ with relations given by $I := I(\Lambda)$, which is the 2-sided admissible ideal generated by all commutativity relations in the appearing squares in $Q(\Lambda)$ which are, if defined, of the form $\varphi^{(i)}_{x_1,..x_i,..x_j,..,x_n}\varphi^{(j)}_{x_1,..x_i,..x_j+1,..,x_n} - \varphi^{(j)}_{x_1,..x_i-1,..x_j+1,..,x_n}\varphi^{(i)}_{x_1,..,x_i,..x_j+1,..,x_n}$. Since I is admissible and $Q(\Lambda)$ is connected, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is a basic, connected, finite-dimensional K-algebra.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite-dimensional K-algebra. It is called a multi-graded staircase algebra of the generalized Young diagram Λ, if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. For a k-dimensional Young diagram, the corresponding algebra is called k-graded staircase algebra, or just k-staircase algebra.*

We denote the Tits quadratic form of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ by $q_\Lambda := q_{\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)}$ and the Auslander-Reiten quiver by $\Gamma(\Lambda)$. Many cases, in particular for the bi- and tri-graded situation yield intuitive visualizations as has been seen in 2.2.

Let us consider an example to illustrate these definitions before discussing multi-graded staircase algebras and their properties in detail.

Example 3.2. *The quiver of the tri-graded vector space in Example 2.6 is given by*



The tri-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) = KQ(\Lambda)/(\varphi^{(2)}_{1,2,1}\varphi^{(1)}_{2,2,1} - \varphi^{(1)}_{2,1,1}\varphi^{(2)}_{2,2,1}, \varphi^{(3)}_{1,1,2}\varphi^{(1)}_{2,1,2} - \varphi^{(1)}_{2,1,1}\varphi^{(3)}_{2,1,2}, \varphi^{(3)}_{1,1,2}\varphi^{(2)}_{1,2,2} - \varphi^{(2)}_{1,2,1}\varphi^{(3)}_{1,2,2}).$$

Each multi-graded nilpotent pair together with a multi-graded vector space V of shape Λ as in 2.3 can be considered as a representation $M := M(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_k, V)$ of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ in a natural way. We denote $\underline{\dim} V := \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)} M$ which depends on the grading on V, but not on the multi-graded nilpotent pair.

Example 3.3. *Consider the setup of Example 2.6. Then, for example, the following is an $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$-representation $M(\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)}, \varphi^{(3)}, V)$:*



Representation-theoretically speaking, the multi-graded nilpotent pairs of V are encoded (up to base change in the homogeneous coordinates) in the representation variety $R_{\underline{\dim} V}\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{sh}(V))$. Therefore, certain criteria can be translated from the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras straight away.

Theorem 3.4. *Let Λ be a generalized Young diagram. Modulo Levi-base change, there are only finitely many Λ-graded nilpotent pairs if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is of finite representation type. Otherwise, there are at most one-parameter families of non-decomposable Λ-graded nilpotent pairs if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is tame.*

This criterion shows that our Main Theorem is a direct consequence of our Classification Theorem and motivates the classification of representation types of multi-graded staircase algebras.

Lemma 3.5. *The number of multi-graded nilpotent pairs (up to Levi-base change) of the fixed multi-graded vector space V is finite if and only if $R_{\underline{\dim} V}\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{sh}(V))$ admits only finitely many $\mathrm{GL}_{\underline{\dim} V}$-orbits. In particular, this is the case if and only if the number of isomorphism classes of representations in $\mathrm{rep}\,\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{sh}(V))(\underline{\dim} V)$ is finite.*

We, thus, study multi-graded staircase algebras to prove our Classification Theorem which directly yields our Main Theorem.

3.2 General properties

From now on, let Λ be a k-dimensional Young diagram for some integer k. For $(i_1, ..., i_k) \in Q(\Lambda)_0$, let $S(i_1, ..., i_k)$ be the standard simple representation at the vertex $(i_1, ..., i_k)$ of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. The (isomorphism classes of the) projective indecomposables of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ are parametrized by $P(i_1, ..., i_k)$, $(i_1, ..., i_k) \in Q(\Lambda)_0$, which are given by

$$P(i_1, ..., i_k)_{l_1,...,l_k} = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } l_j \leq i_j \ \forall j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

together with identity and zero maps, accordingly.

Proposition 3.6. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is triangular, fulfills the separation condition and is strongly simply connected.*

Proof. Since $Q(\Lambda)$ does not contain oriented cycles, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is triangular.

The vector spaces $P(i_1, ..., i_k)_{l_1,...,l_k}$ are one-dimensional for every $(l_1, ..., l_k) \in Q(\Lambda)_0$, thus, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ fulfills the separation condition. Since the latter is the case for every convex subcategory of $A(\Lambda)$, the algebra is strongly simply connected. □

These properties can directly be translated and restricted to convex subcategories. We obtain induced simples $S(i_1, ..., i_k)$ and projective indecomposables $P(i_1, ..., i_k)$ for every vertex. Also, for the same reasons as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, every convex subcategory is triangular, fulfills the separation condition and is strongly simply connected.

3.3 Reductions

The following reductions will help to examine the representation types of all multi-graded staircase algebra.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a convex subcategory of $\mathcal{A}'$. Then*

1. *... if $\mathcal{A}$ is tame, then $\mathcal{A}'$ is tame or wild.*
2. *... if $\mathcal{A}$ is wild, then $\mathcal{A}'$ is wild.*
3. *... if $\mathcal{A}'$ has finite representation type, $\mathcal{A}$ has finite representation type.*
4. *... if $\mathcal{A}'$ is tame, then $\mathcal{A}$ is tame or of finite representation type.*

In particular, if $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$ are two generalized Young diagrams, then these facts hold true for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}(\Lambda')$.

Proof. The claim follows from general representation theory of quivers with relations [1] by restricting in a natural way or expanding with zeros. □

Definition 3.8. *We say that two algebras $\mathcal{A} = KQ/I$ and $\mathcal{A}' = KQ'/I'$ correlate, if the quivers Q and Q' coincide modulo turning around of arrows and if the ideals coincide in the same way. Two correlating algebras are denoted by $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}'$. In particular, if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \sim \mathcal{A}(\Lambda')$, we denote $\Lambda \sim \Lambda'$.*

Example 3.9. *The algebras of the quivers*



and

correlate.

Lemma 3.10. *If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are multi-graded staircase algebras and $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}'$, then the representation types of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ coincide.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are strongly simply connected, we know that their representation types only depend on the quadratic form. It, thus, does not depend on the orientation of the corresponding quiver. □

In particular, we know that the representation type is invariant under certain symmetries.

In the next sections, we classify all representation types of multi-graded staircase algebras. In more detail, in Section 4 we cite the classification of bi-graded staircase algebras of [4], in Section 5 we classify the representation types of all tri-graded staircase algebras and in Section 6, we finish the proof of our Classification Theorem by classifying all higher-graded staircase algebras.

We define a *proper* k-graded staircase algebra to be a k-graded staircase algebra which does not correlate to a $k-1$-graded staircase algebra.

4 Bi-graded nilpotent pairs

The "smallest" case of multi-graded nilpotent tuples is the case of graded nilpotent pairs, which correspond by Subsection 3.1 to bi-graded staircase algebras, which we only call *staircase algebras* as in [4].

A complete classification of representation types of staircase algebras is available in [4]. Since every staircase algebra is defined by its corresponding shape which is a Young diagram, that is, partition, it suffices to classify these partitions.

Theorem 4.1. *([4, Theorem 4.6]) A staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ is*

(a) representation-finite if and only if one of the following holds true:

1. $\lambda \in \{(n),\ (1^k, n-k),\ (2, n-2),\ (1^{n-4}, 2^2)\}$ *for some* $k \leq n$,
2. $n \leq 8$ *and* $\lambda \notin \{(1,3,4),\ (2,3^2),\ (1,2^2,3),\ (1^2,2,4)\}$.

(b) tame concealed if and only if λ comes up in the following list:
$(3,6)$, $(1,2,6)$, $(1,3,4)$, $(2^2,5)$, $(1^2,2,4)$, $(1,2^2,3)$, $(1^3,3^2)$, $(1^3,2^3)$, $(1^4,2,3)$.

(c) tame, but not tame concealed if and only if λ comes up in the following list:
$(4,5)$, (5^2), $(1,4^2)$, $(2,3^2)$, (3^3), $(2^3,3)$, $(1,2^4)$, (2^5).

Otherwise, $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ is of wild representation type.

As in [4], this yields the following finiteness criterion for graded nilpotent pairs.

Theorem 4.2. *([4, Theorem 6.1]) The number of λ-graded nilpotent pairs (up to Levi-base change) is finite if and only if λ appears in Theorem 4.1 (a).*

Further criteria about the number of graded nilpotent pairs of fixed bi-graded vector spaces are available by [4].

5 Tri-graded nilpotent tuples

We now discuss tri-graded nilpotent tuples which correspond to tri-graded staircase algebras via Subsection 3.1.

A proper tri-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called *flat* if it correlates to a tri-graded staircase algebra $KQ(\Lambda)/I$, where Λ is a flat tri-graded Young diagram, that is, its quiver can be embedded into the plane. In particular, as we have seen in Subsection 2.2, every flat tri-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is given by two decreasing partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_l)$, such that $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 =: x$ and such that the quiver of $\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by gluing the two quivers of the staircase algebras $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mu)$ together at their common column of x vertices. We denote $\mathcal{A} =: \mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda_k, ...\lambda_2, \underline{x}, \mu_2, ..., \mu_l)$.

Example 5.1. *For the flat 3-dimensional Young diagram from Example 2.5, the partitions are given by* $\lambda = (4, 2, 1)$ *and* $\mu = (4, 3, 3)$*; and the algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{A}(1, 2, \underline{4}, 3, 3)$ *is defined by the quiver*



Our aim in the next two subsections is to prove the following classification of representation types of tri-graded staircase algebras.

Theorem 5.2. *A proper tri-graded staircase algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ *is*

(a) representation-finite if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ *is flat and* $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$ *appears in Lemma 5.5 (a),*

(b) tame concealed if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ *is flat and* (λ, μ) *appears in Lemma 5.5 (b),*

(c) tame, but not tame concealed if and only if either Λ *equals*



or if $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ *is flat and* (λ, μ) *appears in Lemma 5.5 (c).*

Otherwise, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ *is of wild representation type.*

The explicit flat cases are listed in Appendix A.4 and we split up our examination into two cases: flat and non-flat tri-graded staircase algebras.

5.1 Non-flat tri-gradings

We first discuss the representation types of non-flat tri-graded staircase algebras. Therefore, we define one particular algebra $\mathcal{A}(3)$ by the quiver with relations



Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-flat tri-graded staircase algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is of infinite representation type. In more detail, $\mathcal{A}$ is tame non-concealed if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(3)$ and wild otherwise.*

Proof. Every proper tri-graded non-flat staircase algebra contains the algebra $\mathcal{A}(3)$ as a subcategory. This algebra is tame, since it does not contain a hypercritical algebra [12]. By Theorem 2.2, we can also show tameness straight away, since the corresponding quadratic form $q := q_{\mathcal{A}(3)}$ is weakly non-negative (we stick to the notation used before):

$$\begin{aligned} q(a,b,c,d,e,f,g) &= (\tfrac{a}{2} - b + \tfrac{e}{2} + \tfrac{g}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{a}{2} - c + \tfrac{e}{2} + \tfrac{f}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{a}{2} - d + \tfrac{f}{2} + \tfrac{g}{2})^2 \\ &\quad + \tfrac{a^2}{4} + (\tfrac{e}{2} - \tfrac{f}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{e}{2} - \tfrac{g}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{f}{2} - \tfrac{g}{2})^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{A}(3)$ is not tame concealed, since it contains an algebra of extended Dynkin type $\widetilde{A}_6$ as a convex subcategory which has the minimal nullroot



Every remaining proper tri-graded non-flat staircase algebra is wild by Lemma 3.7, since the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of the following quiver with relations



is of wild representation type (the sketched dimension vector $\underline{d}$ fulfills $q_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{d}) = -1$ and Corollary 2.3 yields wildness, thus). □

For tri-graded nilpotent tuples, we directly get the following criterion.

Proposition 5.4. *Let V be a proper tri-graded non-flat vector space. Then there are (up to Levi-base change) infinitely many tri-graded nilpotent tuples of V.*

Proof. Since there are infinitely many isomorphism classes of representations of dimension vector

$$\begin{matrix} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix},$$

the claim follows from Lemma 3.5. □

5.2 Flat tri-gradings

It remains to classify the representation type of each flat tri-graded staircase algebra.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_l)$ be two decreasing partitions, such that $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 =: x$. These yield a flat tri-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ and our aim is to decide: Is this algebra of finite representation type? The number $k + l - 1$ is called the *ground length* of $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$; the number k is called *wall*.

We define a componentwise ordering $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$ on these double partitions by the condition that $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ is a subpartition and $\mu \leq \mu'$ is a subpartition (speaking in Young diagrams as in Subsection 2.2, they are subsets).

Lemma 5.5. *A proper flat tri-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is (up to correlation)*

(a) of finite representation type if and only if $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$ and there is an integer x, such that

$$(\lambda', \mu') \in \{(1, \underline{5}, 2), (4, \underline{4}, 1), (1, \underline{5}, 1^2), (2, \underline{2}, 2^2), (3, \underline{3}, 1^3), (1, \underline{x}, 1), (2, \underline{2}, 1^x)\},$$

(b) tame concealed if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda, \mu) \in \quad & \{(2, \underline{3}, 2), (3, \underline{5}, 1), (2, \underline{6}, 1), (1, \underline{3}, 2, 1), (2, \underline{4}, 1^2), (1, \underline{6}, 1^2), \\ & (1, \underline{4}, 1^3), (1, 2, \underline{2}, 2, 1), (1^2, \underline{3}, 1^2), (2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^3), (2, \underline{3}, 1^4)\},\end{aligned}$$

c) tame non-concealed if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda, \mu) \in \quad & \{(2, \underline{3}, 3), (3, \underline{3}, 3), (4, \underline{5}, 1), (1, \underline{3}, 3, 1), (3, \underline{4}, 1^2), (2, \underline{2}, 2^3), \\ & (2^2, \underline{2}, 2, 1), (2^2, \underline{2}, 2^2), (3, \underline{3}, 1^4)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is of wild representation type.

Proof. To begin with, we mention that for each integer x, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 1^x)$ is of finite representation type; the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the case $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 1^4)$ is depicted in A.1 and its generalization is easily seen.

We structure the classification by the ground length of $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$.

Ground length 3: The wall is 2 and and we begin by showing that $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is of finite representation type if (up to symmetry by Lemma 3.10) $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$, where $(\lambda', \mu') \in \{(1, \underline{x}, 1), (2, \underline{2}, 2), (1, \underline{5}, 2), (4, \underline{4}, 1)\}$ for some integer x. By reduction via Lemma 3.7, it suffices to show finiteness for the maximal cases: The algebra $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{x}, 1)$ is of finite representation type for arbitrary x, since the algebra is of Dynkin type D_{x+2}. The algebras $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{5}, 1)$ are of finite representation type as subcategories of tame concealed algebras (in more detail, numbers 92 and 20 of the BHV-list [8]).

And $\mathcal{A}(4, \underline{4}, 1)$ is of finite representation type, since the Auslander Reiten quiver is finite (see A.2).

The algebras $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{3}, 2)$, $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{6}, 1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{5}, 1)$ are tame concealed by the BHV-list (numbers 92, 20 and 86 [8]). Furthermore, the cases $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{3}, 3)$, $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{3}, 3)$ and $\mathcal{A}(4, \underline{5}, 1)$ are not of finite representation type, since they contain tame concealed subcategories. They are not wild and, thus, tame non-concealed, by Theorem 2.2, since they do not contain any hypercritical subcategory [12].

Every remaining case is of wild representation type by Lemma 3.10, because $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{4}, 2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{7}, 1)$ are hypercritical and $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{6}, 1)$ contains the hypercritical subcategory $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{5}, 1^2)$.

Ground length 4: Without loss of generality, by Lemma 3.10, we choose the wall to be 2. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is of finite representation type if $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$, where $(\lambda', \mu') \in \{(1, \underline{5}, 1^2), (3, \underline{3}, 1^2), (2, \underline{2}, 2^2)\}$. These cases are finite by Lemma 3.7, since the maximal cases are finite: $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{5}, 1^2)$ is of Dynkin type E_8 and for $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{3}, 1^2)$ the Auslander-Reiten quiver is a subquiver of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{3}, 1^3)$ which is depicted in A.3. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2^2)$ is of finite representation type as a subcategory of the tame concealed algebra number 137 [8].

There are three tame concealed cases $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 2, 1)$, $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{4}, 1^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{6}, 1^2)$ which correspond to numbers 11 and 12 in the BHV-list [8] and to the Euclidean quiver of type $\widetilde{E_8}$. Furthermore, the cases $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 3, 1) \sim \mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 2^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{4}, 1^2)$ are not finite, as they contain subcategories of tame concealed types $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 2, 1)$ or $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{4}, 1^2)$. They are not wild by Theorem 2.2, since they do not contain any hypercritical algebra as a subcategory. Thus, they are tame non-concealed.

Every remaining case is of wild representation type, since the algebras $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{3}, 2, 1)$, $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{4}, 2, 1)$ $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{5}, 1^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{7}, 1^2)$ are hypercritical by [12].

Ground length 5: By symmetry 3.10, two cases appear: either the wall equals 2 or 3.

Let the wall be 2. We show that $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is of finite representation type if $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (\lambda', \mu')$, such that $(\lambda', \mu') \in \{(2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^2), (1, \underline{2}, 2^3), (3, \underline{3}, 1^3)\}$. These cases are finite by Lemma 3.7, since the Auslander-Reiten quivers of $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{3}, 1^3)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{2}, 2^3)$ are finite and depicted in A.3 and A.2; and since the algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^2)$ is of finite representation type as a subcategory of a tame concealed algebra (namely, of number 95 [8]).

The algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2^2, 1)$ is tame concealed as number 137 of the BHV-list [8] and $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{4}, 1^3)$ is tame concealed of Euclidean type $\widetilde{E_7}$. Furthermore, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2^3)$ is tame non-concealed as it contains the tame concealed subcategory $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 2^2, 1)$, but no hypercritical subcategory [12]. Every remaining case is wild, since the algebras $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 2, 1^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{4}, 1^3)$ are hypercritical [12].

Let the wall be 3. Then $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$ is of finite representation type if and only if $(\lambda, \mu) \leq_c (2, 2, \underline{2}, 1^2)$ by Lemma 3.10: the algebra $\mathcal{A}(2, 2, \underline{2}, 1^2)$ is of finite representation type as a subcategory of the tame concealed algebra number 86 [8]. The only tame concealed cases are $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \underline{2}, 2, 1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1^2, \underline{3}, 1^2)$, the former is number 93 of the BHV-list [8], the latter is of Euclidean type $\widetilde{\widetilde{E_6}}$. There are two tame non-concealed algebras, namely $\mathcal{A}(2^2, \underline{2}, 2, 1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2^2, \underline{2}, 2^2)$: these contain the tame concealed subcategory

$\mathcal{A}(1,2,\underline{2},2,1)$, but no hypercritical subcategory [12]. Every remaining case is of wild representation type, since $\mathcal{A}(1,2,\underline{3},1^2)$ is hypercritical by [12].

Ground length 6: Again, there are (up to symmetry 3.10 two cases to consider: the wall equals 2 or 3.
First, let the wall be 3. Then $\mathcal{A}(\lambda,\mu)$ is of finite representation type if $(\lambda,\mu) \leq_c (2^2,\underline{2},1^3)$, since $\mathcal{A}(2^2,\underline{2},1^3) \sim \mathcal{A}(3,\underline{3},1^3)$ has a finite Auslander-Reiten quiver A.3. There is one tame concealed algebra, namely $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{2},2,1^2)$ which corresponds to number 12 in the BHV-list [8]. Furthermore, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{2},2^2,1)$ is tame non-concealed: tame as it contains the tame concealed algebra $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{2},2,1^2)$ as a subcategory and not wild by Theorem 2.2, since it does not contain any hypercritical subcategory. Every remaining case is of wild representation type by reduction 3.7, since the algebras $\mathcal{A}(1,2,\underline{2},2,1^2)$ and and $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{3},1^3)$ are hypercritical [12].

Let the wall be 2, then $\mathcal{A}(\lambda,\mu)$ is of finite representation type if $(\lambda,\mu) \leq_c (\lambda',\mu')$, where $(\lambda',\mu') \in \{(2,\underline{2},1^4),(1,\underline{2},2,1^3),(1,\underline{3},1^4)\}$. These cases are finite by 3.7: $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{2},1^4) \sim \mathcal{A}(3,\underline{3},1^3)$ has been seen to be of finite representation type before and the algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2,1^3)$ is of finite representation type as a subcategory of the tame concealed algebra number 20 [8]. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{3},1^4)$ is of Dynkin type E_8. There are three tame concealed cases, namely $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{2},2,1^3)$, $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2^2,1^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{3},1^4)$ which correspond to numbers 95, 86 and 17 of the BHV-list [8]. The algebras $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2^3,1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(3,\underline{3},1^4)$ are tame non-concealed: they each contain tame concealed subcategories (namely, $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2^2,1^2)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{3},1^4)$), but no hypercritical subcategory [12]. Every remaining case is wild, since $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{4},1^4)$ is hypercritical and $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{3},2,1^3)$ contains the hypercritical algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{3},2,1^2)$.

Ground length 7: In this case, the wall can be assumed to be 2, 3 or 4 (up to symmetry by Lemma 3.10).

Let the wall be 2: The only finite case $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{2},1^5)$ has been considered before. There are two tame concealed cases: $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2,1^4)$ as number 20 of the BHV-list [8] and $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{3},1^5)$ which is of Euclidean type $\widetilde{E_8}$. Every remaining case is of wild representation type by Lemma 3.7, since $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{2},2,1^4)$ is hypercritical [12] and $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{2},2^2,1^3)$, $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{3},1^5)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1,\underline{3},2,1^4)$ contain hypercritical subcategories.

Let the wall be 3: The only finite case $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{2},1^4)$ is of Dynkin type E_8. There is one tame concealed algebra $\mathcal{A}(1,2,\underline{2},1^4)$ (namely, number 17 of the BHV-list [8]) and one tame non-concealed algebra $\mathcal{A}(2^2,\underline{2},1^4)$ which contains the mentioned tame concealed subcategory, but no hypercritical subcategory (Theorem 2.2) [12]. Every remaining case is wild, since $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{2},2,1^3)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{3},1^4)$ are wild (the former is hypercritical and that latter contains the hypercritical $\mathcal{A}(1^2,\underline{3},1^3)$, see ground length 6).

Let the wall be 4: There is no case of finite representation type, since the algebra $\mathcal{A}(1^3,\underline{2},1^3)$ is tame concealed of Euclidean type $\widetilde{E_7}$. Every remaining case is of wild representation type, since $\mathcal{A}(1^2,2,\underline{2},1^3)$ is hypercritical and $\mathcal{A}(1^3,\underline{3},1^3)$ contains the hypercritical subcategory $\mathcal{A}(1^3,\underline{3},1^2)$.

Ground length ≥ 8:
The only cases of finite representation type are $\mathcal{A}(2,\underline{2},1^x)$ (see above). Every remaining algebra $\mathcal{A}(\lambda,\mu)$ is of wild representation type, since it contains one of the hypercriti-



Figure 1: Minimal nullroots of flat tri-graded nullroots

cal subcategories $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{2}, 2, 1^5)$, $\mathcal{A}(1, \underline{3}, 1^6)$, $\mathcal{A}(1^3, \underline{2}, 1^4)$, $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \underline{2}, 1^5)$ or $\mathcal{A}(1^2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^3)$. □

By Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following proposition on tri-graded nilpotent tuples.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\Lambda = (\lambda, \mu)$ be a flat tri-graded Young diagram. Then the number of Λ-graded nilpotent pairs (up to Levi-base change) is finite if and only if Λ is listed in Lemma 5.5 (a).*

By Lemma 3.5, we also obtain finiteness criteria for tri-graded nilpotent tuples of a fixed tri-graded flat vector space.

Lemma 5.7. *Let V be a flat tri-graded staircase algebra. If $\underline{\dim} V$ contains (up to correlation) one of the minimal nullroots of Figure 1, then there are (up to Levi-base change) infinitely many tri-graded nilpotent tuples of V.*

For certain vector spaces, we obtain a concrete finiteness criterion on tri-graded nilpotent tuples.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that V is flat tri-graded of shape Λ and $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is tame concealed. Then there are only finitely many tri-graded nilpotent tuples on V (modulo base change in the homogeneous components) if and only if $\underline{\dim} V$ does not contain the corresponding minimal nullroot.*

Proof. Any tame concealed algebra has a unique one-parameter family of indecomposable modules X with $\mathrm{End}(X) = K$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, X) = K$; and the dimension vector of these modules is the minimal positive nullroot (known by [10]) which generates the radical of the quadratic form. □

6 Higher graded nilpotent tuples

To classify higher graded staircase algebras, we begin with 4-graded ones and approach k-graded staircase algebras, where $k \geq 5$, afterwards.

We define the algebra $\mathcal{A}(4) := K\mathcal{Q}(4)/I$, where

$\mathcal{Q}(4) :=$ 

and I is the admissible ideall generated by all commutative squares depicted in the picture.

Theorem 6.1. *A proper k-graded staircase algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$, where $k \geq 4$, is*

(a) never representation-finite,

(b) tame concealed if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(\Lambda)$ is of Euclidean type $\widetilde{D_4}$,

(c) tame, but not tame concealed if and only if its Gabriel quiver is a subquiver of $\mathcal{Q}(4)$ with the induced relations, but not of Euclidean type $\widetilde{D_4}$.

Otherwise, and in particular if $k \geq 5$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is of wild representation type.

Proof. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ contains a subcategory of Euclidean type $\widetilde{D}_4$, namely



which corresponds to a tame concealed algebra. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is always of infinite representation type. We have to show that $\mathcal{A}(4)$ is of tame representation type. This fact follows from Theorem 2.2, since the algebra does not contain any hypercritical subcategory. Since $\mathcal{A}(4)$ is strongly simply connected, by Lemma 2.2, we can also show tameness straight away, since its quadratic form is non-negative:

$$\begin{aligned} q(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i) &= (\tfrac{a}{2} - b + \tfrac{f}{2} + \tfrac{i}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{a}{2} - e + \tfrac{h}{2} + \tfrac{i}{2})^2 \\ &\quad + (\tfrac{a}{2} - c + \tfrac{f}{2} + \tfrac{g}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{a}{2} - d + \tfrac{h}{2} + \tfrac{g}{2})^2 \\ &\quad + (\tfrac{f}{2} - \tfrac{i}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{f}{2} - \tfrac{g}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{h}{2} - \tfrac{i}{2})^2 + (\tfrac{h}{2} - \tfrac{g}{2})^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In every remaining case, the Gabriel quiver of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ wither contains a hypercritical subquiver of the form



or a wild subquiver



Then $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$ is of wild representation type by [12] and Lemma 3.7. □

For k-graded vector spaces, where $k \geq 4$, we immediately obtain a finiteness criterion.

Corollary 6.2. *Let Λ be a generalized k-dimensional partition, where $k \geq 4$. Then there are (up to Levi-base change) infinitely many Λ-graded nilpotent tuples.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let V be a 4-graded staircase algebra. If $\underline{\dim} V$ contains (up to correlation) the minimal nullroot*



then there are (up to Levi-base change) infinitely many 4-graded nilpotent tuples of V. If the shape of V equals the shape of the nullroot, the converse imlication is true, as well, since the algebra is tame concealed.

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 3.5; the proof of the second part is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.8. □

References

[1] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.

[2] Klaus Bongartz. Algebras and quadratic forms. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 28(3):461–469, 1983.

[3] Klaus Bongartz. Critical simply connected algebras. *Manuscripta Math.*, 46(1-3):117–136, 1984.

[4] Magdalena Boos. Staircase algebras and graded nilpotent pairs. To appear in: Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 2015.

[5] Thomas Brüstle, José Antonio de la Peña, and Andrzej Skowroński. Tame algebras and Tits quadratic forms. *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):887–951, 2011.

[6] José Antonio de la Peña and Andrzej Skowroński. The Tits forms of tame algebras and their roots. In *Representations of algebras and related topics*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 445–499. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[7] Yuriy A. Drozd. Tame and wild matrix problems. In *Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979)*, volume 832 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 242–258. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[8] P. Gabriel and A. V. Roiter. *Representations of finite-dimensional algebras*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Translated from the Russian, With a chapter by B. Keller, Reprint of the 1992 English translation.

[9] Victor Ginzburg. Principal nilpotent pairs in a semisimple Lie algebra. I. *Invent. Math.*, 140(3):511–561, 2000.

[10] Dieter Happel and Dieter Vossieck. Minimal algebras of infinite representation type with preprojective component. *Manuscripta Math.*, 42(2-3):221–243, 1983.

[11] Andrzej Skowroński. Simply connected algebras and Hochschild cohomologies [MR1206961 (94e:16016)]. In *Representations of algebras (Ottawa, ON, 1992)*, volume 14 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 431–447. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[12] Luise Unger. The concealed algebras of the minimal wild, hereditary algebras. *Bayreuth. Math. Schr.*, (31):145–154, 1990.

A Flat tri-graded algebras

A.1 Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{A}(2, \underline{2}, 1^4)$



A.2 Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{A}(2, 2, 2, \underline{2}, 1)$



A.3 Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{A}(3, \underline{3}, 1, 1, 1)$





A.4 Case study (up to symmetry)

Maximal finite cases		
$(1, \underline{5}, 2) \sim (1, \underline{2}, 2, 1^3)$	$(4, \underline{4}, 1) \sim (1, \underline{2}, 2^3)$	$(2, \underline{2}, 2^2)$
		
$(1, \underline{5}, 1^2) \sim (1^2, \underline{2}, 1^4) \sim (1, \underline{3}, 1^4)$	$(3, \underline{3}, 1^3) \sim (2^2, \underline{2}, 1^3)$	$(2, \underline{2}, 1^x)$

Tame concealed cases		
		
$(1^2, \underline{3}, 1^2)$	$(1, \underline{4}, 1^3) \sim (1^3, \underline{2}, 1^3)$	$(1, \underline{6}, 1^2) \sim (1, \underline{3}, 1^5) \sim (1^2, \underline{2}, 1^5)$
		
$(1, \underline{3}, 2, 1)$	$(2, \underline{4}, 1^2) \sim (1^2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^2)$	$(2, \underline{3}, 1^4) \sim (1, 2, \underline{2}, 1^4)$
		
$(2, \underline{3}, 2)$	$(3, \underline{5}, 1) \sim (1, \underline{2}, 2^2, 1^2)$	$(2, \underline{6}, 1) \sim (1, \underline{2}, 2, 1^4)$
		
$(1, 2, \underline{2}, 2, 1)$	$(2, \underline{2}, 2, 1^3)$	

Tame non-concealed cases		
		
$(2, \underline{3}, 3)$	$(3, \underline{3}, 3)$	$(4, \underline{5}, 1) \sim (1, \underline{2}, 2^3, 1)$
		
$(1, \underline{3}, 3, 1) \sim (1, \underline{3}, 2^2)$	$(3, \underline{4}, 1^2) \sim (1^2, \underline{2}, 2^2, 1)$	$(2, \underline{2}, 2^3)$
		
$(2^2, \underline{2}, 2, 1)$	$(2^2, \underline{2}, 2^2)$	$(3, \underline{3}, 1^4) \sim (2^2, \underline{2}, 1^4)$